

Excess Gibbs free Energy Models

$$\bar{G}_i^{Ex} = RT \ln \gamma_i \quad \underline{G}^{Ex} = \underline{G}(\text{mixture}, T, P) - \underline{G}^{(M)}(T, P, (x))$$

Solution non-idealities important in
* separations * biophysics

Simple (empirical) models - Margules

$$\underline{G}^{Ex} = C x_1 x_2 \quad \text{for 2-component system}$$

\hookrightarrow constant from data

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2 = ? \quad \bar{G}_1^{Ex} = \left. \frac{\partial \underline{G}^{Ex}}{\partial N_1} \right|_{T, P, N_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial N_1} \left(\frac{C N_1 N_2}{N_1 + N_2} \right)$$

$$= C \frac{N_2(N_1 + N_2) - N_1 N_2}{(N_1 + N_2)^2} = C x_2^2 \Rightarrow RT \ln \gamma_1 = C x_2^2$$

Same way, $RT \ln \gamma_2 = C x_1^2$

Van Lacer $\underline{G}^{Ex} = \frac{C_1 C_2 x_1 x_2}{C_1 x_1 + C_2 x_2}$

$$RT \ln \gamma_1 = C_1 \left(1 + \frac{C_1 x_1}{C_2 x_2} \right)^{-2} \quad RT \ln \gamma_2 = C_2 \left(1 + \frac{C_2 x_2}{C_1 x_1} \right)^{-2}$$

Proof? See Practice Problems II

Note correct limits: $\underline{G}^{Ex} \rightarrow 0$ for $x_1 = 0$ or $x_1 = 1$

$$\ln \gamma_1 \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } x_1 \rightarrow 1, x_2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\ln \gamma_2 \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } x_1 \rightarrow 0, x_2 \rightarrow 1$$

Semitheoretical Models - "Regular" solutions

$$\text{Excess Entropy of mixing} = 0 \quad \Delta V^{Ex} = 0$$

CBE 246 δ models / Henry's Law (2)

Regular Solution theory gives (no proof)

$$\ln \gamma_1 = \frac{v_1 \phi_2^2 (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2}{RT} \quad \ln \gamma_2 = \frac{v_2 \phi_1^2 (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2}{RT}$$

where $\phi_i = \text{volume fraction} = \frac{x_i v_i}{x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2}$

$$\delta = \text{"solubility parameter"} = \sqrt{\frac{u_v - u_L}{v_L}}$$

Solubility parameters can be obtained for many liquids, listed in Table 8.2 for a few.

Flory-Huggins model : good for polymers, still in use.

→ monomeric solvent + chains ←

$$\frac{\Delta S_{\text{mix}}}{R} = -N_s \ln \phi_s - N_p \ln \phi_p \quad m: \text{beads per chain}$$

$$\phi_s = \frac{N_s}{N_s + mN_p} \quad \phi_p = \frac{mN_p}{N_s + mN_p}$$

Much lower ΔS_{mix} than of monomeric components

$$\frac{H^{\text{Ex}}}{RT} = \chi N_s \phi_p \quad \rightarrow \text{counts polymer-solvent interaction pairs}$$

↳ " χ " (chi) parameter, a measure of interactions between polymer + solvent segments

UNIQUAC + UNIFAC

Abrams + Prausnitz (1975); UNIFAC is group contribution version of UNIQUAC

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{G}^{EX} &= \underline{G}^{EX} (\text{combinatorial}) + \underline{G}^{EX} (\text{interactions}) \\ &= RT \sum_i x_i \ln \frac{P_i}{x_i} + \dots - RT \sum_i q_i x_i \ln \left(\frac{z_i \theta_j}{\theta_j} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{z}{2} \sum_i x_i q_i \ln \frac{Q_i}{P_i} \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow \tau_{ij}$: binary parameters
 θ_j : area fractions
 $\rightarrow q_i$: surface parameter

\rightarrow fitted to experimental data on activity coeffs., phase equilibrium (VLE, LLE) data.

Infinite-dilution properties

"Standard" definition of activity

$$RT \ln a_i = \mu_i(T, P, x_i) - \mu_i(T, P, \text{pure } i \text{ liq. or solid})$$

Same state as solution

Runs into difficulties if pure component state does not exist or is hard to obtain -

e.g. proteins in solution, electrolytes, gases above their critical points

"Infinite Dilution" reference state for comp 1

$$RT \ln a_i^\infty = RT \ln \gamma_i^\infty x_i = \mu_i(T, P, x_i) - [\mu_i^\circ(T, P, x_i^\circ) - RT \ln x_i^\circ]$$

$x_i^\circ \rightarrow 0$

in same solvent, temp. and pressure \uparrow

By construction, $\gamma_i^\infty \rightarrow 1$ as $x_i \rightarrow 0$

For dissolved gases the main quantity of interest is the partial pressure above a solution of certain concentration.

Recall $a_i = \gamma_i x_i = \frac{f_i(T, P, \{x\})}{f_i(T, P, \text{pure } i)} \leftarrow \text{constant}$

We can rewrite this as $f_i = \gamma_i x_i \cdot \text{const.}$

Even if pure comp. (reference) fugacity is not directly measurable, at low concentrations the activity coefficients are independent of concentration,

so $f_i \approx y_i P$ (for gas) $= x_i \cdot H$

\uparrow \uparrow
 liq. mole fraction "Henry's Constant"

The higher the Henry's Constant, the lower the solubility of a gas in a liquid -

E.g. O_2 in H_2O @ $25^\circ C$ $H \approx 4 \cdot 10^4$ bar
 N_2 " " $H \approx 9 \cdot 10^4$ bar

H is a strong function of T .